

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460



MAR 5 1981

MEMORANDUM

OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT

mila Kasale

SUBJECT:

Reilly Tar and Chemical

FROM:

Mike Kosakowski, Hazardous Waste

Enforcement Task Force

TO:

File

Julia Parzen of the Office of Planning and Evaluation reviewed the 1977 Dun and Bradstreet file on Reilly Tar and Chemical. A major difficulty she encountered in trying to assess Reilly's financial capabilities was that, since Reilly is a privately held company, income figures were not available. She assumed that SIC category 2992 applied, and if not, compared the before tax profit to net worth ratio of this category to the general SIC 29 category (average 33% verses 30% respectively). Because of this closeness the SIC 2992 data was used.

With an excellent financial record, Reilly was assumed to be in the upper quartile for net profit to net worth, 39 percent. Using a simple ratioing technique it is estimated that Reilly could afford five million dollars per year for a few years, perhaps five years without being forced to shut down. This assessment was only used to qualitatively assess whether Reilly could afford more that their one million dollar offer. Although Julia is positive that Reilly can, we need to obtain current financial data including profits, business costs, etc, in order to negotiate a more realistic dollar amount with Reilly.

I was in the same	Supplied the second
	Svom: Julia Parze
,	1
	Reill You + Chameral
· · · · · ·	Reilly Fax + Chemeral
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(2962) asphalt products one on data
	2962) suphalt products one on data
	petrol refining 1st Q'80
	2992 Pub oil and greace (similar inputs, but
	2992 lub oil and greece (similar input, but smaller firms, etc.)
	smalle firme, etc.
	- Josephan
· 	2/2 2/
1	profit / NW
fund I	upper Q 39 % (301)
1_	and any (33 1) - (30)
₹.	
<u> </u>	saix from , to not woned in industry
-	Reilly invery good shape, so assume in upper Q
	Then can afford 27.2% reduction in profit
	27.21 x \$ 31 1 M NW \$ 8 M/yr.
	from indung, it is (65)
	Estimated profit in 39% x 3/M NW = \$12 M/yr
	Could take away 2/3 profit 10
T / / /7	\$ 12 1 11
TI 6+ 17	5 70 11
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	707
765 M AW	In tax profit 1/ soles 2992.
1	Rully 17%
1	and way 5.7% Bollette
1	
	1/2(5.7%)= 29%
· ·	hen could afford 14.1% oreduction in sales
	W ~ (* = 70 · · ·)
	141% X \$70 M sales = (\$ 9.78 M)
1	Might shell not \$5-8 M for a few years (5?)

	and the second s	and the same of th		Marie	
	2				
			1977		
				- 1	
	Qu.		101	TI Mandyna	
	111	777	176	history clear	
/-	dett	<u>5.4 H</u>	5.24 H		
	5ales NW	65 M 31 M	65 M	trend steel	
	Asset	46 M		favniste bank relatina	
	- Andrew				
				3 (M \$ 3M)	
	Assume pro	fet in 2 \$10	+ (20 % RF)	ROS	
	/	,	See previous pa	y_	
	we know	expend plan	1 + equip 64	(M)	
		dividen	t + equip (4)	5% TT = (3.5H)	
			·	`	
					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·		
		(+)			
		(<i>\frac{p}{2}</i>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
·	Sonde	t 1 n1) ///	44.00.4	
 ,	O consists	(10% Aunt -	de ute 46	60,00 B	
	Mario	upten from p.1 (10% Assets - a depressation,)	60,000	
	·	- Jupico and	14,61	0,006	
	Moes				
	Cap Expend	(debt covenant)	t) 4,000,006		
	Dividenda	(debt covendat)	3,500	3,500,000	
	Debt Repaym	unt facume 5 pt,	2,000,000		
	, , ,	be Conservative	9,500,	000	
	,	NET:	5,000,0	00	
	0 +		7	// +	
	Conth	iget on assur	plus, could of	for	
	(25)	J# M	19 gr	- Jus giera	
/ +					
			AN L	·	
		and le			
				<u> </u>	
<u> </u>	· ·	,	τ	•	

BM 935 E Towns Marie Irizarry Rully responsible for 1/2 capacity of from 5R1 study - Hugh Wise 426-2497 can get

price

manufacturing cost =

mangi = For all chamicals : after tax?

Kosakowski Huj Woste Task Free 1472-3500 Thursday: Frenk Biros 426-Cost clien up O+M \$100,000 what can pay 8.5 to 15% 20 n 30 y construction? connections w/water rain Income Depreciat